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## INTRODUCTION

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. (Kimley-Horn) was retained to perform a traffic study for a proposed 140unit single family housing development located on SR-745 (Dublin Road) in Delaware County, Ohio. In the previous submittal, a single access point was proposed across from Henderson Drive. The site has since been revised, and the access is now proposed south of Henderson Drive near the southern boundary of the property. An aerial view of the study location and the surrounding roadway network is presented in Exhibit 1, and the revised conceptual site plan is provided in Appendix A.

A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was completed to summarize a scoping call on September $18^{\text {th }}$, 2023. A copy of the agreed upon MOU is provided in Appendix B. Note that Kimley-Horn and the review agencies mutually agreed to modify the MOU after approval to adjust the data collection procedures. Historical count data is available on SR-745 from 2021 and serves as the base volumes for the analysis. Based on the site trips generated, it is anticipated that a left turn warrant would be met at the site access and results would not be impacted by the age of the counts. It is recommended that any future entities who reference this document note that the traffic data was outside of the typical age for using historical counts and use engineering judgement to determine if the data is suitable for their use.

Additionally, analysis for the southbound right turn lane warrant has been included in this submittal for Opening and Horizon year conditions. This analysis also uses the historical data and the ODOT Traffic Forecast Management System (TFMS) growth rate of $2.7 \%$ as listed in the MOU document.

The study includes derivation of trip generation characteristics for the proposed residential use. A turn lane warrant analysis, capacity analysis, and intersection site distance analysis were completed as part of the study process. This document summarizes the methodology, results, and conclusions of the traffic analysis.

## Exhibit 1: Ste Location Map



## LEGEND

\# Intersection ID
$\square$ Site Location

Farneman Property - Delaware County, Ohio
Kimley»)Horn

## NO BUILD CONDITIONS

This section of the report details information on the existing roadway conditions.

## Area Land Uses and Existing Roadway Characteristics

The subject site is located on SR-745 south of Henderson Drive in Delaware County, Ohio. The area in the vicinity of the site generally consists of agricultural and residential land uses. The study area for this analysis includes the following intersections:

- SR-745 and Site Access A

Kimley-Horn used the ODOT Transportation Information Mapping System (TIMS) to determine the roadway classifications. Characteristics of the stud16y roadways are summarized below.

SR-745 is a minor arterial roadway generally running north-south in the site vicinity. In the study area, SR745 provides one lane in each direction and has an unposted speed limit indicating a legal speed of 55 mph in the vicinity of the subject site. No designated sidewalks are present on either side of the existing SR-745 where the site access will be located. Vertical curvature is present to the north and south of the proposed site access, and no horizontal curvature is present in the study area.

## Traffic Count Data Collection

Traffic count data for SR-745 northbound and southbound approaches was obtained using the ODOT Transportation Information Mapping System (TIMS). The counts include volumes for a full 24-hour period and were collected in August of 2021. The AM and PM peak hour periods are 8:00am-9:00am and 4:45pm5:45pm, respectively. The peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Exhibit 2. Using the ODOT Peak Hour to Design Hour Factors tables, a design hour factor of 1.12 was applied to the existing traffic volumes. The design hour volumes are shown in Exhibit 3. Traffic count data can be found in Appendix C.

## Traffic Volume Projections

Kimley-Horn utilized the ODOT Traffic Forecast Management System (TFMS) to obtain growth rates for the study area. Based on the TFMS results, a $2.7 \%$ linear growth rate was applied to SR-745. This rate was used to project data to a 2025 Opening Year and a 2035 Horizon Year. The TFMS data can be found in Appendix $\mathbf{D}$.

The 2025 No Build volumes are illustrated in Exhibit 4 and the 2035 No Build volumes are illustrated in Exhibit 5.

## Exhibit 2: Existing Traffic AM \& PM Peak Hour Vehicle Volumes



## LEGEND

\# Intersection ID
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XX (XX) AM (PM) Peak Hour Vehicle Volumes

## Exhibit 3: Existing Traffic With DHV AM \& PM Peak Hour Vehicle Volumes



Exhibit 4: 2025 No Build AM \& PM Peak Hour Vehicle Volumes
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\# Intersection ID
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Exhibit 5: 2035 No Build AM \& PM Peak Hour Vehicle Volumes


## LEGEND
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## BUILD CONDITIONS

This section of the report outlines the proposed site plan and summarizes site-specific traffic characteristics.

## Development Characteristics

The proposed development consists of 140 units of single-family residential homes. It is located to the west of SR-745 with a single proposed access point on SR-745 south of Henderson Drive. This access is located near the south property line. An emergency-only access is also proposed on SR-745 near the north property line. The emergency access will be blocked with a gate that will be siren-actuated to open for emergency vehicle use.

## Trip Generation

To calculate trips generated by the proposed industrial development, data was referenced from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) manual titled Trip Generation, Eleventh Edition. Trip generation rates for the ITE Land Use Code (LUC) corresponding to the proposed use are shown in Table 1. Copies of the ITE data are included in Appendix E.

Table 1: ITE Trip Generation Data - Residential Units

| ITE Land Use | Units | Weekday |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| Single-Family Detached <br> Housing (210) | 140 | $\ln (T)=0.92 \ln (X)+2.68$ <br> $50 \%$ in $/ 50 \%$ out | $\ln (T)=0.91 \ln (X)+0.12$ <br> $26 \%$ in $/ 74 \%$ out | $\ln (T)=0.94 \ln (X)+0.27$ |
| $63 \%$ in $/ 37 \%$ out |  |  |  |  |

All site generated trips are expected to be "Primary Trips" when traveling to and from the subject site. Primary trips are trips to the proposed residential site that would not normally travel on the study roadways and are considered new trips within the study area. No pass-by traffic is assumed to be generated as part of this land use. Per these assumptions, site-generated traffic projections are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Proposed Site Generated Traffic Projections - Residential

| ITE Land Use | Units | Vehicle <br> Type | Daily | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |  |  |
| Single-Family Detached <br> Housing (210) | 140 | All | 1,375 | 24 | 77 | 101 | 86 | 50 | 136 |

## Directional Distribution

The distribution of trips entering/exiting the proposed site was determined based on the existing traffic patterns on the roadway network. Existing data shows that the traffic coming to and from the proposed site SR-745 does so predominately to/from the south. Kimley-Horn assumed 65\% of the traffic would travel to/from the south on SR-745 and the remaining $35 \%$ was assumed to travel to/from the north on SR-745. Input from DECO as well as these calculations and assumptions were used to determine the distribution shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Estimated Trip Distribution

| Traveling to/from: | Estimated Total Trip <br> Distribution |
| :---: | :---: |
| South on SR-745 Road | $65 \%$ |
| North on SR-745 Road | $35 \%$ |

## Build Traffic Assignment

The Build traffic assignment represents traffic volumes at the study intersections upon construction of the proposed development. Kimley-Horn assigned traffic volumes using the distribution shown in Table 3 to produce Build volumes for analysis. AM Peak and PM Peak hour assignments were made using the trip generation and the distribution shown above. The site traffic assignment is shown in Exhibit 6. The 2025 Build Vehicular Volumes are illustrated in Exhibit 7 and the 2035 Build Vehicular Volumes are illustrated in Exhibit 8.

## Exhibit 6: Total Site Traffic AM \& PM Peak Hour Vehicle Volumes



## Exhibit 7: 2025 Build AM \& PM Peak Hour Vehicle Volumes
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## Exhibit 8: 2035 Build AM \& PM Peak Hour Vehicle Volumes
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## ANALYSIS

This section of the report provides a summary of the traffic analyses completed for the subject site. This includes turn lane warrant analysis, capacity analysis, and intersection site distance analysis. The methodology and results of the analysis are included below.

## Turn-Lane Warrant Analysis

A turn lane warrant analysis was completed at the site access point. Kimley-Horn completed a right-turn and left-turn lane warrant analysis using the guidance of Section 400 of the ODOT Location \& Design Manual, Volume 1 (L\&D Manual). This analysis was completed for the AM and PM peak periods of the 2025 Build and 2035 Build. Based on the results of this analysis, a northbound left-turn lane is warranted at the intersection of SR-745 and the site access. No other turn lanes are warranted at the proposed access point. The turn lane warrant graphs and turn lane length calculations are provided in Appendix $F$ and Appendix G. See the conclusions and recommendations section below for additional discussion.

## Capacity Analysis

A capacity analysis was conducted at the Site Access intersection with SR-745 to evaluate operations in the various scenarios. Table 4 is from section 5.9 of the ODOT Analysis and Traffic Simulation (OATS) Manual, which outlines the LOS criteria for intersections. The study area is inside of the MORPC MPO boundary, therefore intersections exceeding LOS "D" do not meet operational goals as defined by the OATS Manual.

Table 4: Level of Service Grading Descriptions

| Result | Inside an MPO | Outside of an MPO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | D or better | C of better |
| Approach LOS | E or better |  |
| Control LOS | E or better |  |
| v/c | All movements $<1.0$ with $<0.93$ preferred. |  |
| QSR | All movements $<1.0$ from HCS analysis, otherwise TransModeler may be needed <br> to determine if queuing impacts upstream intersections. |  |

HCS 2023 software was used to assess the capacity of the study intersections in the 2025 and 2035 Build scenarios. The intersection capacity is reported by approach during the peak hour of site generated traffic. For this analysis, an LOS D or better was considered acceptable for overall intersection results and an LOS E or better was considered acceptable for individual approaches or movements.

Table 5 summarizes the results of the capacity analysis for the study intersection under the Build conditions, both with and without the addition of a northbound left-turn lane.

Table 5: 2025 Build Capacity Analysis Results

| Intersection | Without Left-Turn Lanes |  |  |  | With Left-Turn Lanes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekc Peak Delay (s/veh) | AM <br> our <br> LOS | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |
| - SR-745 / Access A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | 11.5 | B | 12.7 | B | 11.5 | B | 12.6 | B |
| Northbound (Left) | 7.9 | A | 8 | A | 7.9 | A | 8 | A |

A - Minor-Leg Stop-Controlled Intersection
Table 6: 2035 Build Capacity Analysis Results

| Intersection | Without Left-Turn Lanes |  |  |  | With Left-Turn Lanes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |
|  | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| - SR-745 / Access A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | 12.5 | B | 14.3 | B | 12.5 | B | 14.2 | B |
| Northbound (Left) | 8.1 | A | 8.2 | A | 8.1 | A | 8.2 | A |

A - Minor-Leg Stop-Controlled Intersection

Based on the results of the capacity analysis, the SR-745 and Site Access intersection is anticipated to operate under acceptable conditions under all scenarios. The HCS capacity analysis reports are included in Appendix H.

## Intersection Sight Distance Analysis

A sight distance exhibit was prepared for proposed access point. This exhibit was completed using the ODOT L\&D Manual, Section 200. A design speed of 60 miles per hour was utilized for both the eastbound right-turn movement at Access A and the eastbound left-turn at Access A. There are no anticipated sight distance concerns for the sight access, and the sight distance exhibit is included in Appendix I. Additional discussion is provided in the Conclusions section.

## CONCLUSIONS

Kimley-Horn completed a traffic analysis for a proposed single-family site located west of SR-745 in Delaware County, Ohio. The site is proposed to consist of 140 single-family residences with a full access point south of Henderson Drive.

The results of the study and recommendations are as follows.

- A turn lane warrant analysis was completed using standard ODOT turn lane warrant graphs. Based on the results of this analysis, a northbound left-turn lane is warranted at SR-745 and Site Access intersection. It is recommended that the northbound turn lane be 285 feet including a 50 -foot taper. No additional turn lanes are warranted at the subject site.
- Capacity analysis was completed using HCS 2023 software and shows that the intersection is anticipated to operate under acceptable conditions in all scenarios.
- An intersection site distance analysis was completed for the proposed site access, and it is anticipated that the access point will meet sight distance requirements. It is recommended that trees and vegetation that may impact sight distance is cleared or trimmed to facilitate adequate sight lines.

Based on an evaluation of traffic conditions at the study intersection, the addition of site-generated traffic is not expected to significantly impact existing traffic operations. All approaches are anticipated to operate at a LOS of B or better during the Build condition. No improvements are recommended at the study intersection in addition to the northbound left turn lane.

## APPENDIX

A - Conceptual Site Plan
B - Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
C - Traffic Count Data from ODOT Transportation Information Mapping System
D - ODOT Traffic Forecast Management System (TFMS)
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F - Turn Lane Warrant Charts
G - Turn Lane Length Calculations
H - HCS Capacity Analysis Reports
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## Kimley»Horn

## MEMORANDUM

To: Mike Love, PE, Delaware County Engineer's Office (DCEO)
Jessica Ormeroid, PE, Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) District 6
From: Nick Brady, PE, Kimley-Horn
Date: November 17, 2023
Subject: Farneman Property - MOU

The purpose of this memo is to formalize the requirements of the Traffic Access Study for the Farneman Property Development located in Delaware County, Ohio. This document summarizes the scope of study discussed in a call on September 18 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2023. The residential site is proposed to be constructed west of SR-745, just south of Moore Road and is shown in the conceptual plan below. The proposed site is anticipated to include a 140 single family homes with a single access point on SR-745.


## Kimley»Horn

## Study Intersections

The study intersection for the proposed development will include the intersection of SR-745 (Dublin Road) and Buechel Drive/Access Drive.

## Traffic Counts

A 24-hour weekday midweek turning movement count will be collected via MioVision traffic cameras at the at the SR-745 (Dublin Road) and Buechel Drive intersection. These counts will be used to establish AM peak hour and PM peak hour volumes for use in the analysis.

## Traffic Volumes

Trip generation estimates will be based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation - 11th Edition. The trip estimates will be prepared for the AM and PM peak-hour using the ITE best fit equations for LUC 210 (Single-Family Detached Housing). The table below is a summary of the trip generation projections for the proposed development.

Table 1: ITE Trip Generation Data - Residential Units

| ITE Land Use | Units | Weekday |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| Single-Family Detached | 140 | $\ln (T)=0.92 \ln (X)+2.68$ | $\ln (T)=0.91 \ln (X)+0.12$ | $\ln (T)=0.94 \ln (X)+0.27$ |
| Housing (210) |  | $50 \%$ in $/ 50 \%$ out | $26 \%$ in $/ 74 \%$ out | $63 \%$ in $/ 37 \%$ out |

For this study, all site generated trips are expected to be "Primary Trips" when traveling to and from the subject site. Per this assumption, the anticipated site generated traffic volumes are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Proposed Site Generated Traffic Projections - Residential

| ITE Land Use | Units | Vehicle <br> Type | Daily | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 140 | All | 1,320 | 24 | 77 | 101 | 86 | 50 | 136 |

ODOT's Traffic Forecast Management System (TFMS) will be utilized to provide growth rates for the study area roadways. For this project it is assumed that the opening year is 2025 and the horizon year is 2035. Based on the TFMS data, a linear growth rate of $2.7 \%$ is proposed to project existing count data to the study years.

Analysis will be completed for the following AM \& PM peak hour scenarios: 2025 No Build, 2025 Build, 2035 No Build, and 2035 Build. Table 3 is from section 5.9 of the ODOT Analysis and Traffic Simulation (OATS) Manual, which outlines the LOS criteria for intersections. The study area is inside of the MORPC MPO boundary, therefore intersections exceeding LOS " $D$ " do not meet operational goals as defined by the OATS Manual.

Table 3: Operational Goals of Intersections

| Result | Inside an MPO | Outside of an MPO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | D or better | C of better |
| Approach LOS | E or better |  |
| Control LOS | E or better |  |
| v/c | All movements <1.0 with $<0.93$ preferred. |  |
| QSR | All movements < 1.0 from HCS analysis, otherwise TransModeler may be needed <br> to determine if queuing impacts upstream intersections. |  |
| v/c = Volume-to-capacity ratio, QSR = Queue-Storage ratio |  |  |

## Analysis

The study intersections will be evaluated for level-of-service (LOS) and the need for turn lanes for each study scenario. Capacity analysis will be completed using HCS 2023 software at the following intersections:

- SR-745 (Dublin Road) and Buechel Drive/Access Drive

Turn lane warrants will be completed per the guidance of Section 400 of the ODOT Location \& Design Manual, Volume 1 (L\&D) and criteria outlined in the Delaware County Engineer's Office Standards Manual, Appendix I. If a turn lane is warranted, it is understood that an opposing southbound left turn lane would also be required to be installed. Site distance exhibits will be prepared for the Access Drive at SR-745 (Dublin Road) and Buechel Drive. These exhibits will be prepared using the ODOT L\&D Manual, Section 200. The analysis results and recommendations will be documented in a summary report.

If you have any questions, need additional information, or would like to modify these study requirements, please contact me (Nick.Brady@kimley-horn.com). If you concur with the information provided in this memorandum of understanding, please sign, and forward a copy for our records, or provide an email indicating your acceptance.

Nick Brady, PE
Kimley-Horn

Jessica Ormeroid, PE ODOT, District 6

Mike Love, PE
DCEO

Cc: Mike Reeves, PE - Kimley-Horn
Attachments: Conceptual Site Plan, TFMS Print Out

APPENDIX
Traffic Count Data from ODOT Transportation Information M apping System

| Location Info |  |  |  |  |  | Count Data Info |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location ID | 8421 NB |  |  |  |  | Start Date | 8/5/2021 |
| Type | I-SECTION |  |  |  |  | End Date | 8/6/2021 |
| Functional Class | SR 745 4 4 |  |  |  |  | Start Time | 12:00 AM |
| Located On | SR-745 |  |  |  |  | End Time | 12:00 AM |
|  | SR745 S OF C124 HOM E RD, NW OF POWELL |  |  |  |  | Direction |  |
| Direction | NB |  |  |  |  | Notes | odot |
| Community | NW OF POWELL |  |  |  |  | Count Source | 84211050 |
| MPO_ID |  |  |  |  |  | File Name | 8421_vol.prn |
| HPM SID |  |  |  |  |  | Weather |  |
| Agency | Ohio Department of Transportation |  |  |  |  | Study |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner | southerntraffic |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | QC Status | Accepted |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval: 15 mins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | 15 M in |  |  |  | Hourly Count |  |  |
|  | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |  |  |  |
| 00:00-01:00 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 8 |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 9 |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 18 | 39 |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 35 | 96 |  |  |
| 08:00-09:00 | 34 | 24 | 36 | 35 | 129 |  |  |
| 09:00-10:00 | 27 | 32 | 38 | 30 | 127 |  |  |
| 10:00-11:00 | 38 | 31 | 35 | 31 | 135 |  |  |
| 11:00-12:00 | 30 | 40 | 44 | 32 | 146 |  |  |
| 12:00-13:00 | 34 | 35 | 43 | 39 | 151 |  |  |
| 13:00-14:00 | 35 | 44 | 30 | 42 | 151 |  |  |
| 14:00-15:00 | 36 | 37 | 40 | 37 | 150 |  |  |
| 15:00-16:00 | 46 | 50 | 43 | 66 | 205 |  |  |
| 16:00-17:00 | 48 | 73 | 53 | 73 | 247 |  |  |
| 17:00-18:00 | 87 | 73 | 69 | 50 | 279 |  |  |
| 18:00-19:00 | 57 | 62 | 44 | 34 | 197 |  |  |
| 19:00-20:00 | 39 | 34 | 23 | 26 | 122 |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 | 20 | 22 | 17 | 27 | 86 |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 | 28 | 24 | 10 | 5 | 67 |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 35 |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 12 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  | 2399 |  |  |


| Location Info |  |  |  |  |  | Count Data Info |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location ID | 8421_SB |  |  |  |  | Start Date | 8/5/2021 |
| Type | I-SECTION |  |  |  |  | End Date | 8/6/2021 |
| Functional Class | SR 745 4 4 |  |  |  |  | Start Time | 12:00 AM |
| Located On | SR-745 |  |  |  |  | End Time | 12:00 AM |
|  | SR745 S OF C124 HOM E RD, NW OF POWELL |  |  |  |  | Direction |  |
| Direction | SB |  |  |  |  | Notes | odot |
| Community | NW OF POWELL |  |  |  |  | Count Source | 84211050 |
| MPO_ID |  |  |  |  |  | File Name | 8421_vol.prn |
| HPM SID |  |  |  |  |  | Weather |  |
| Agency | Ohio Department of Transportation |  |  |  |  | Study |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner | southerntraffic |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | QC Status | Accepted |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval: 15 mins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | 15 Min |  |  |  | Hourly Count |  |  |
|  | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |  |  |  |
| 00:00-01:00 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 26 |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 | 5 | 17 | 25 | 29 | 76 |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 43 | 42 | 63 | 54 | 202 |  |  |
| 08:00-09:00 | 47 | 47 | 45 | 60 | 199 |  |  |
| 09:00-10:00 | 41 | 40 | 29 | 35 | 145 |  |  |
| 10:00-11:00 | 37 | 32 | 32 | 36 | 137 |  |  |
| 11:00-12:00 | 29 | 45 | 37 | 29 | 140 |  |  |
| 12:00-13:00 | 33 | 35 | 44 | 32 | 144 |  |  |
| 13:00-14:00 | 36 | 26 | 34 | 28 | 124 |  |  |
| 14:00-15:00 | 37 | 41 | 35 | 27 | 140 |  |  |
| 15:00-16:00 | 30 | 41 | 42 | 31 | 144 |  |  |
| 16:00-17:00 | 44 | 55 | 46 | 56 | 201 |  |  |
| 17:00-18:00 | 48 | 53 | 61 | 40 | 202 |  |  |
| 18:00-19:00 | 48 | 31 | 26 | 35 | 140 |  |  |
| 19:00-20:00 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 24 | 105 |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 | 22 | 20 | 29 | 30 | 101 |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 | 23 | 26 | 13 | 4 | 66 |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 21 |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 25 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  | 2353 |  |  |



APPENDIX
D. ODOT Traffic Forecasting M anagement System (TFM S)

| Username | Email | Script Import Date | Script Version | Model Version |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jacob.Campbell | Jacob.Campbell@kimleyhorn.com | 4/14/2020 5:30:19 PM | 2020.001 | 2023.1900 |
| Forecast Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID |  | Name | Opening Year | Design Year |
|  |  | man | 2025 | 2035 |

Project Description
DCEO Access Study
*Users of this data need to be aware that there are limitations to the forecasts generated by this product that make it suitable only for roadway design projects which are low risk.

## Segment Information

| Segment ID | LRS ID | BMP | EMP | Length | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1833509 | SDELSR00745** | 3.970 | 5.424 | 1.454 | -83.1471131017338 | 40.2065416324816 |
| 1833510 | SDELSR00745** | 5.424 | 7.003 | 1.579 | -83.1509433531063 | 40.2283128023978 |

## Forecast Information

| Segment ID | 2025 AADT | 2035 AADT | DHV-30 | K\% | D\% | T24\% | TD\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1833509 | 4,500 | 5,500 | 800 | 14.4 | 58.0 | 5 | 3 |
| 1833510 | 3,000 | 3,700 | 550 | 14.8 | 65.5 | 3 | 1 |

$\square$

## Definitions:

AADT - Annual Average Daily Traffic
DHV30 - Design Hour Volume for 30th highest hour of the year
DHV30 - K * AADT
K \% - Design Hour Factor
D \% - Peak Direction Factor
T24 \% - Percent Daily Trucks
TD \% - Percent Design Hour Trucks

| Forecast Segment ID |  |  | Route |  | BMP | EMP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1833509 |  |  | SDELSR00745** |  | 3.970 | 5.424 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Forec |  |  |  |
| Year |  | K\% | T24 \% (Existing) | PA AADT | PA Method | PA Growth Rate \% | PA Calculated Rate \% |
| 2050 | $\checkmark$ |  | 5 | 6,600 | Model | 2.400 | 2.400 |
| AADT |  | D\% | TD \% (Existing) | BC AADT | BC Method | BC Growth Rate \% | BC Calculated Rate \% |
| 6,970 |  | 58.0 | 3 | 370 | Average | 2.300 | 2.300 |

K/D factors from TCDS were used.

| Regression |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method Number |  | PA AADT |  | BC AADT |  |  | AADT |  |
| 4 |  | 1,275 |  | 495 |  |  | 1,770 |  |
| 95\% Confidence Min/Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PA Min |  | PA Max | BC Min |  | BC Max |  | Year |  |
| -3836 |  | 8057 | -293 |  | 692 |  | 2050 |  |
| Method Number | PA Growth \% | BC Growth \% | PA Drop Count | BC Drop Count | PA AADT | BC AADT | PA Adjustment | PA Adjustment |
| 1 | -0.20 | -0.91 | 0 | 0 | 4,348 | 111 | 3,740 | 167 |
| 2 | -0.93 | 3.67 | 5 | 1 | 3,181 | 438 | 2,930 | 454 |
| 3 | -1.47 | 3.67 | 0 | 0 | 2,738 | 438 | 2,329 | 454 |
| 4 | -2.42 | 4.32 | 5 | 5 | 1,273 | 495 | 1,275 | 495 |
| 5 | -1.84 | 3.89 | 0 | 0 | 2,297 | 453 | 1,924 | 468 |
| 6 | -2.67 | 4.46 | 5 | 5 | 972 | 505 | 996 | 504 |

Adjustment Info

| ID | Adjustment Methods Name | Model vs Count AADT | Adjusted AADT | Model vs Count BC | Adjusted BC | PA Growth Rate \% | BC Growth Rate \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DIF | -2,787 | 7,241 | -293 | 258 | 2.73 | 0.54 |
| 2 | RAT | 0.60 | 6,017 | 0.43 | 239 | 1.64 | 0.24 |
| 3 | MRAT | 1.44 | 6,390 | 1.06 | 240 | 1.98 | 0.26 |
| 4 | RAF |  | 6,815 |  | 249 | 2.35 | 0.40 |
| Adjust Method AADT |  | Adjust Method BC |  |  | Selected PA Growth Rate \% |  | Selected BC Growth Rate \% |
|  | rage |  | del Ratio |  | 2.400 |  | 0.300 |

Method 1-4 Volume

| PA Min Volume | PA Max Volume | BC Min Volume | BC Max Volume | Total Min Volume | Total MaxVolume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5778 | 6983 | 239 | 258 | 6017 | 7241 |


| Process Flag: | Adjusted model to counts with process per ODOT 255 spreadsheet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Comment: | No Comment |
|  |  |

Historical Count

| Year | All | Cars | Trucks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 4,540 | 4,260 | 280 |
| 2012 | 4,960 | 4,830 | 130 |
| 2013 | 5,067 | 4,934 | 133 |
| 2016 | 4,664 | 4,496 | 167 |
| 2019 | 5,401 | 5,245 | 156 |
| *2022 | 4,182 | 3,958 | 224 |

* Pivot Point


| Segment ID | LRS ID | BMP | EMP | Length | Yr 2025 <br> AADT | Yr 2035 <br> AADT | DHV30 | K \% | D \% | T24 \% | TD \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1833509 | SDELSR00745**C | 3.970 | 5.424 | 1.454 | 4,500 | 5,500 | 800 | 14.4 | 58.0 | 5 | 3 |


| Forecast Segment ID |  | Route |  | BMP |  | EMP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1833510 |  | SDELSR00745* C |  | 5.424 |  | 7.003 |
|  |  |  | Forec |  |  |  |
| Year | K\% | T24 \% (Existing) | PA AADT | PA Method | PA Growth Rate \% | PA Calculated Rate \% |
| 2050 | 14.8 | 3 | 4,700 | Model | 2.700 | 2.700 |
| AADT | D\% | TD \% (Existing) | BC AADT | BC Method | BC Growth Rate \% | BC Calculated Rate \% |
| 4,840 | 65.5 | 2 | 140 | Average | 1.700 | 1.700 |

K/D factors from TCDS were used.

| Regression |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method Number |  | PA AADT |  |  | BC AADT |  | AADT |  |
| 2 |  | 2,189 |  |  | 176 |  | 2,365 |  |
| 95\% Confidence Min/Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PA Min |  | PA Max | BC Min |  | BC Max |  | Year |  |
| -854 |  | 5741 | -237 |  | 612 |  | 2050 |  |
| Method Number | PA Growth \% | BC Growth \% | PA Drop Count | BC Drop Count | PA AADT | BC AADT | PA Adjustment | PA Adjustment |
| 1 | -0.14 | 3.57 | 0 | 0 | 2,813 | 209 | 2,584 | 190 |
| 2 | -0.67 | 3.05 | 5 | 4 | 2,244 | 182 | 2,189 | 176 |
| 3 | -0.70 | 5.23 | 0 | 0 | 2,337 | 260 | 2,167 | 234 |
| 4 | -1.36 | 5.64 | 5 | 4 | 1,641 | 260 | 1,666 | 245 |
| 5 | -0.91 | 3.08 | 0 | 0 | 2,160 | 198 | 2,004 | 177 |
| 6 | -1.50 | 5.38 | 5 | 4 | 1,530 | 252 | 1,564 | 238 |

Adjustment Info

| ID | Adjustment Methods Name | Model vs Count AADT | Adjusted AADT | Model vs Count BC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adjusted } \\ & B C \end{aligned}$ | PA Growth Rate \% | BC Growth Rate \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DIF | -3,036 | 5,873 | -424 | 132 | 4.05 | 1.39 |
| 2 | RAT | 0.48 | 4,263 | 0.18 | 102 | 1.95 | 0.26 |
| 3 | MRAT | 1.53 | 4,821 | 1.07 | 104 | 2.69 | 0.34 |
| 4 | RAF |  | 5,347 |  | 118 | 3.37 | 0.86 |
| Adjust Method AADT |  | Adjust Method BC |  | Selected PA Growth Rate \% |  |  | Selected BC Growth Rate \% |
| Model Ratio |  | Model Ratio |  |  | 2.700 |  | 0.300 |

Method 1-4 Volume

| PA Min Volume | PA Max Volume | BC Min Volume | BC Max Volume | Total Min Volume | Total MaxVolume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4161 | 5741 | 102 | 132 | 5263 |  |


| Process Flag: | Adjusted model to counts with process per ODOT 255 spreadsheet |
| :--- | :--- |
| Comment: |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Historical Count

| Year | All | Cars | Trucks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 2,930 | 2,850 | 80 |
| 2012 | 3,054 | 3,000 | 54 |
| 2013 | 3,143 | 3,087 | 56 |
| 2016 | 2,942 | 2,798 | 143 |
| 2019 | 3,366 | 3,251 | 115 |
| *2022 | 2,786 | 2,691 | 95 |

* Pivot Point


| Segment ID | LRS ID | BMP | EMP | Length | Yr 2025 <br> AADT | Yr 2035 <br> AADT | DHV30 | K \% | D \% | T24 \% | TD \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1833510 | SDELSR00745**C | 5.424 | 7.003 | 1.579 | 3,000 | 3,700 | 550 | 14.8 | 65.5 | 3 | 1 |

APPENDIX
E.

Data from ITE Trip Generation 11th Edition

# Land Use: 210 Single-Family Detached Housing 

## Description

A single-family detached housing site includes any single-family detached home on an individual lot. A typical site surveyed is a suburban subdivision.

## Specialized Land Use

Data have been submitted for several single-family detached housing developments with homes that are commonly referred to as patio homes. A patio home is a detached housing unit that is located on a small lot with little (or no) front or back yard. In some subdivisions, communal maintenance of outside grounds is provided for the patio homes. The three patio home sites total 299 dwelling units with overall weighted average trip generation rates of 5.35 vehicle trips per dwelling unit for weekday, 0.26 for the AM adjacent street peak hour, and 0.47 for the PM adjacent street peak hour. These patio home rates based on a small sample of sites are lower than those for single-family detached housing (Land Use 210), lower than those for single-family attached housing (Land Use 251), and higher than those for senior adult housing -- single-family (Land Use 251). Further analysis of this housing type will be conducted in a future edition of Trip Generation Manual.

## Additional Data

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

For 30 of the study sites, data on the number of residents and number of household vehicles are available. The overall averages for the 30 sites are 3.6 residents per dwelling unit and 1.5 vehicles per dwelling unit.

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Arizona, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Montana, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Ontario (CAN), Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia.

## Source Numbers

$100,105,114,126,157,167,177,197,207,211,217,267,275,293,300,319,320,356,357,367$, $384,387,407,435,522,550,552,579,598,601,603,614,637,711,716,720,728,735,868,869$, $903,925,936,1005,1007,1008,1010,1033,1066,1077,1078,1079$

# Single-Family Detached Housing (210) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 174
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 246
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.43 | $4.45-22.61$ | 2.13 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 192
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 226
Directional Distribution: $26 \%$ entering, $74 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.70 | $0.27-2.27$ | 0.24 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 208
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 248
Directional Distribution: 63\% entering, 37\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.94 | $0.35-2.98$ | 0.31 |

Data Plot and Equation


APPENDIX F.

## Turn Lane Warrant Charts

## Kimley»"Horn <br> Expect More. Experience Better

Project: Farneman Property
Intersection: SR-745 and Site Access A/Henderson Drive Turning M ovement: NBL


|  | 2025 Build AM <br> Peak | 2025 Build PM <br> Peak | 2035 Build AM <br> Peak | 2035 Build PM <br> Peak |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed (mph) | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| Left Turn Volume <br> (VPH) | 16 | 56 | 16 | 56 |
| Advancing Traffic <br> (DHV) | 176 | 431 | 214 | 522 |
| Opposing Volume <br> (VPH) | 255 | 300 | 315 | 366 |
| Left Turn Percentage | $9.1 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| Is Left Turn Warrant <br> Met? | No | Yes | No | Yes |

## Kimley»Horn <br> Expect More, Experience Betlec

Project: Farneman Property
Intersection: SR-745 and Site Access A/Henderson Drive
Turning M ovement: SBR


|  | 2025 Build AM <br> Peak | 2025 Build PM <br> Peak | 2035 Build AM <br> Peak | 2035 Build PM <br> Peak |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed (mph) | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| Right Turning Traffic <br> (dhv) | 8 | 30 | 8 | 30 |
| Advancing Traffic <br> (VPH) | 255 | 300 | 315 | 366 |
| Is Right Turn Warrant <br> Met? | No | No | No | No |

APPENDIX
G.

## Turn Lane Length Calculations

| 2025 Build |  | Site Access A \& SR-745 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycle length (Secs.) | Movement | Design Speed (mph) | \# of lanes |  | Peak | Thru lane DHN | Tum lane DHN | Calculated Tum Lane (F) | Thru Movement Backup (FI) | Blocked | Reccommended Tum lane (FI) |
|  |  |  | Thru | Tum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | EBL | 25 | 1 | 0 | AM | 50 | 27 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 33 | 17 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | EBR |  | 1 | 0 | AM | 27 | 50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 17 | 33 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | AM | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | WBR |  | 0 | 0 | AM | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | NBL | 55 | 1 | 1 | AM | 160 | 16 | 285 | 200 | N/A | 285 |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 375 | 56 | 285 | 325 | N/A |  |
|  | NBR |  | 1 | 0 | AM | 160 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 375 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | SBL | 55 | 1 | 0 | AM | 255 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 300 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | SBR |  | 1 | 0 | AM | 247 | 8 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 270 | 30 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |

*Turn Lane Length Constraint

| 2035 Build |  | Site Access A \& SR-745 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycle length (Secs.) | Movement | Design Speed (mph) | \# of lanes |  | Peak | Thru lane DHN | Tum lane DHN | Calculated Tum Lane (F) | Thru Movement Backup (FI) | Blocked | Reccommended Tum lane (FI) |
|  |  |  | Thru | Tum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | EBL | 25 | 1 | 0 | AM | 50 | 27 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 33 | 17 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | EBR |  | 1 | 0 | AM | 27 | 50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 17 | 33 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | WBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | AM | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | WBR |  | 0 | 0 | AM | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | NBL | 55 | 1 | 1 | AM | 198 | 16 | 285 | 225 | N/A | 285 |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 466 | 56 | 285 | 375 | N/A |  |
|  | NBR |  | 1 | 0 | AM | 198 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 466 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | SBL | 55 | 1 | 0 | AM | 315 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 366 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | SBR |  | 1 | 0 | AM | 307 | 8 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | PM | 336 | 30 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |

*Turn Lane Length Constraint

APPENDIX
H.

HCS Capacity Analysis Reports

## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Kimley-Horn | Intersection | SR-745 and Site Access A |
| Agency/Co. | ODOT | Jurisdiction | District 6 |
| Date Performed | $12 / 14 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Site Access A |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | SR-745 |
| Time Analyzed | Build AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Farneman Property |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst | Kimley-Horn | Intersection | SR-745 and Site Access A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | ODOT | Jurisdiction | District 6 |
| Date Performed | $12 / 14 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Site Access A |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | SR-745 |
| Time Analyzed | Build PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Farneman Property |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 17 |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 375 |  |  |  | 270 | 30 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M edian Type \\| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.43 | 6.23 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Kimley-Horn | Intersection | SR-745 and Site Access A |
| Agency/Co. | ODOT | Jurisdiction | District 6 |
| Date Performed | $12 / 14 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Site Access A |
| Analysis Year | 2035 | North/South Street | SR-745 |
| Time Analyzed | Build AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Farneman Property |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Kimley-Horn | Intersection | SR-745 and Site Access A |
| Agency/Co. | ODOT | Jurisdiction | District 6 |
| Date Performed | $12 / 14 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Site Access A |
| Analysis Year | 2035 | North/South Street | SR-745 |
| Time Analyzed | Build PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Farneman Property |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 17 |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 466 |  |  |  | 336 | 30 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M edian Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Kimley-Horn | Intersection | SR-745 and Site Access A |
| Agency/Co. | ODOT | Jurisdiction | District 6 |
| Date Performed | $12 / 14 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Site Access A |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | SR-745 |
| Time Analyzed | Build AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Farneman Property |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Kimley-Horn | Intersection | SR-745 and Site Access A |
| Agency/Co. | ODOT | Jurisdiction | District 6 |
| Date Performed | $12 / 14 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Site Access A |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | SR-745 |
| Time Analyzed | Build PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Farneman Property |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 17 |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 375 |  |  |  | 270 | 30 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Kimley-Horn | Intersection | SR-745 and Site Access A |
| Agency/Co. | ODOT | Jurisdiction | District 6 |
| Date Performed | $12 / 14 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Site Access A |
| Analysis Year | 2035 | North/South Street | SR-745 |
| Time Analyzed | Build AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Farneman Property |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 27 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 198 |  |  |  | 307 | 8 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Kimley-Horn | Intersection | SR-745 and Site Access A |
| Agency/Co. | ODOT | Jurisdiction | District 6 |
| Date Performed | $12 / 14 / 2023$ | East/West Street | Site Access A |
| Analysis Year | 2035 | North/South Street | SR-745 |
| Time Analyzed | Build PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Farneman Property |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 17 |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 466 |  |  |  | 336 | 30 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.43 |  | 6.23 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



APPENDIX
Site Distance Exhibits


SIGHT DISTANCE PLAN


UBLIN ROAD / ACCESS DRIVE
SIGHT DISTANCE PROFILE



Photo 1- Dedision Distance


Photo 2- Sight Distance, 665' North of Proposed Access


Photo 3- Sight Distance, 665' South of Proposed Access
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